

# STANLEY'S CONJECTURE FOR CRITICAL IDEALS

AZEEM HAIDER AND SARDAR MOHIB ALI KHAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over a field  $K$ . Stanley's conjecture holds for the modules  $I$  and  $S/I$ , when  $I \subset S$  is a critical monomial ideal. We calculate the Stanley depth of  $S/I$  when  $I$  is a canonical critical monomial ideal. For non critical monomial ideals we show the existence of a Stanley ideal with the same depth and Hilbert function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring with standard grading over a field  $K$ . Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded  $S$ -module. Any decomposition of the module  $M$  as a finite direct sum of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded  $K$ -subspaces of the form  $uK[Z]$ , where each  $uK[Z]$  is a free  $K[Z]$ -modules, is called a *Stanley decomposition* of the module  $M$ . In other words, a Stanley decomposition of  $M$  has the form  $\mathcal{D} : M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r u_i K[Z_i]$ , where the  $u_i \in M$  are homogenous elements and each  $Z_i$  is a subset of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . The number  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \min\{|Z_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$  is called the *Stanley depth* of the decomposition  $\mathcal{D}$ . The Stanley depth of the module  $M$  is defined to be  $\text{sdepth}(M) = \max\{\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) : \mathcal{D} \text{ is Stanley decomposition}\}$ .

In [8] Stanley conjectured that  $\text{sdepth}(M) \geq \text{depth}(M)$ . We call  $I$  a *Stanley ideal*, if Stanley's conjecture holds for  $S/I$ . There are not many known classes of Stanley ideals [6].

Let  $I \subset S$  be a monomial ideal. We denote by  $G(I)$  the unique minimal monomial system of generators of  $I$  and  $H_{S/I}$  the Hilbert function of the quotient algebra  $S/I$ . Consider the lexicographic order  $<_{\text{lex}}$  on  $S$  induced by the ordering  $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$  of the variables. A *lexsegment ideal* is a monomial ideal  $I$  such that for a monomial  $u \in I$  and for a monomial  $v \in S$  with  $\deg u = \deg v$  and  $v >_{\text{lex}} u$ , one has  $v \in I$ . A lexsegment ideal  $I$  is called a *universal lexsegment ideal* if  $I$  is a lexsegment ideal in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+m}]$  for any natural number  $m \geq 0$ .

Recall that for any graded ideal  $I \subset S$ , there exists a unique lexsegment ideal, denoted by  $I^{\text{lex}}$ , such that  $S/I$  and  $S/I^{\text{lex}}$  have the same Hilbert function. Hibi and Murai [4] call a monomial ideal  $I$  *critical* if  $I^{\text{lex}}$  is universal lexsegment.

Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t \in \text{Mon}(S)$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  where  $m_i \in K[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\deg m_t > 0$ . Then we define the ideal

$$(1) \quad I_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)} = (x_1 m_1, x_2 m_1 m_2, \dots, x_{t-1} m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{t-1}, m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t)$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13P10, 13C14, Secondary: 13H10, 13F20.

*Key words and phrases.* Critical monomial ideals, Stanley depth, Hilbert function

This research of the authors is partially supported by HEC Pakistan.

In analogy to the definition of Hibi and Murai [5] we call a monomial ideal *canonical critical*, if it is of the form  $I_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)}$ , up to the permutation of variables. By [5, Theorem 1.1] canonical critical ideals are critical. In Lemma 2.1 we show that Stanley's conjecture holds for  $I$  and  $S/I$ . For a canonical critical monomial ideal  $I$  we calculate the Stanley depth of  $S/I$  (Theorem 2.2) and obtain a Stanley decomposition (Theorem 2.5) which exactly gives the Stanley depth of  $S/I$ . We also show that for a canonical critical monomial ideal one has  $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq 1 + \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ , thereby giving in this special case an affirmative answer to a question raised by Rauf in [7]. In Proposition 2.6 we show that for each non critical monomial ideal  $I$  there exists a Stanley ideal which has the same depth and Hilbert function as the ideal  $I$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors like to thank Professor Jürgen Herzog for his valuable suggestions which improves the final form of the paper.

## 2. STANLEY DEPTH AND CRITICAL MONOMIAL IDEALS

First we show that the Stanley conjecture holds for modules  $I$  and  $S/I$ , when  $I$  is critical monomial ideal.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a critical monomial ideal. Then*

- (i)  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq \text{depth}(S/I)$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \text{depth}(I)$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $I \subset S$  is a critical monomial ideal, then  $\text{depth}(S/I) = n - |G(I)|$  (see [4, Theorem 1.6]) and for any monomial ideal  $I$ ,  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) \geq n - |G(I)|$  (see [2, Proposition 1.3]).

(ii) follows from the fact  $\text{depth}(I) = 1 + \text{depth}(S/I)$  and  $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq \max\{1, n - |G(I)| + 1\}$  (see [3, Proposition 3.4]).  $\square$

We show that the equality holds in (i) of Lemma 2.1 for canonical critical monomial ideals.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $I \subset S$  be a canonical critical monomial ideal. Then  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{depth}(S/I) = n - |G(I)|$ .*

*Proof.* If  $I$  be a canonical critical monomial ideal, then

$$I = (x_1 m_1, x_2 m_1 m_2, \dots, x_{t-1} m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{t-1}, m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t),$$

where for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ ,  $m_i$  is a monomial belonging to  $K[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\deg m_t > 0$ .

We set  $S_i = K[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$  we define the ideals

$$I_i = (x_i m_i, x_{i+1} m_i m_{i+1}, \dots, x_{t-1} m_i m_{i+1} \cdots m_{t-1}, m_i m_{i+1} \cdots m_t),$$

and

$$I'_i = (x_i, x_{i+1} m_{i+1}, \dots, x_{t-1} m_{i+1} m_{i+2} \cdots m_{t-1}, m_{i+1} m_{i+2} \cdots m_t).$$

Moreover, we set  $I'_t = (m_t)$ .

Then  $S = S_1$ ,  $I = I_1$ ,  $I_i = m_i I'_i$  and  $I'_i = (x_i, I_{i+1})$ . The ideals  $I_i$ ,  $I'_i$  and  $I'_t$  are critical monomial ideals in  $S_j = [x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n]$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq i$ .

By [2, Proposition 1.3] and the fact that  $\gcd\{u | u \in G(I)\} = m_1$ , we have  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S/I'_1)$ , and further  $\text{sdepth}(S/I'_1) = \text{sdepth}(S_2/I_2)$ , since  $S/I'_1 \cong S_2/I_2$ . Hence we

conclude that  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S_2/I_2)$ . Continuing in this way we get  $\text{sdepth}(S/I) = \text{sdepth}(S_t/I'_t) = n - |G(I)|$ . The last equation follows since  $I'_t = (m_t)$  is a principal monomial ideal, and since  $t = |G(I)|$  (see [5, Corollary 2.3]).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $I$  is a canonical critical monomial ideal. Then*

$$\text{sdepth}(I) \geq 1 + \text{sdepth}(S/I).$$

*Proof.* If  $I$  is a canonical critical monomial ideal, then by using [3, Proposition 3.4] we have  $\text{sdepth}(I) \geq n - |G(I)| + 1$ , and the assertion follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

For a canonical critical monomial ideal  $I$ , the following decomposition is obtained from [5, Lemma 2.2].

**Lemma 2.4.** *As a vector space over  $K$  the canonical critical monomial ideal  $I$  is the direct sum  $I = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} x_j \left( \prod_{k=1}^j m_k \right) K[x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n] \oplus \prod_{k=1}^t m_k K[x_t, \dots, x_n]$ .*

Using the decomposition in Lemma 2.4 we will give an explicit Stanley decomposition of  $S/I$  which in fact gives the Stanley depth.

Let  $S_i = K[x_i, \dots, x_n]$  and  $m_i \in S_i$  with  $\deg m_i = d_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t \leq n$ . We define for any monomial  $m \in S$  a positive number  $v(m) = \min\{k \mid x_k \text{ divides } m\}$  and monomials  $w_{i(d+1)} = \frac{w_{id}}{x_{v(w_{id})}}$ , where  $w_{i1} = m_i$ ,  $1 \leq d \leq d_i - 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . For monomial  $m_i$  we have  $m_i = \prod_{j=1}^{d_i} x_{v(w_{ij})}$ . Finally, by using the monomials  $w_{id}$ , we define  $u_{ij} = \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} x_{v(w_{ik})}$  with  $u_{i1} = 1$  and  $Z_{ij} = \{x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n\} \setminus \{x_{v(w_{ij})}\}$ .

With the notation introduced we have,

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $I = I_{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)}$  be a critical monomial ideal. We set  $n_1 = 1$  and  $n_i = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_{i-1}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, t$ . Then for  $S/I$  we have the following Stanley decomposition*

$$\mathcal{D} : S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} n_i K[Z_{ij}] \quad \text{with} \quad d_i = \deg(m_i).$$

Moreover we have  $\text{sdepth}(\mathcal{D}) = \text{sdepth}(S/I)$ .

*Proof.* We decompose  $S_i$  for the variable  $x_{v(w_{i1})} = u_{i2}$ ,

$$S_i = K[Z_{i1}] \oplus x_{v(w_{i1})} S_i.$$

Again for  $x_{v(w_{i2})}$  we decompose  $S_i$  in the above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} S_i &= K[Z_{i1}] \oplus x_{v(w_{i1})} K[Z_{i2}] \oplus x_{v(w_{i1})} x_{v(w_{i2})} S_i \\ &= u_{i1} K[Z_{i1}] \oplus u_{i2} K[Z_{i2}] \oplus u_{i3} S_i. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $m_i = \prod_{j=1}^{d_i} x_{v(w_{ij})}$ , so continuing in this way we obtain

$$(2) \quad S_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} K[Z_{ij}] \oplus m_i S_i.$$

As  $S_i = S_{i+1} \oplus x_i S_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ , it follows from (2) that

$$(3) \quad S_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} k[Z_{ij}] \oplus m_i S_{i+1} \oplus x_i m_i S_i.$$

By using the above recursive relation for  $1 \leq i \leq t-1$  we get

$$(4) \quad S_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t-1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} n_i K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} x_j n_{j+1} S_j \oplus n_t S_t.$$

Now for  $S_t$  we substitute the decomposition obtained in (2)

$$S_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t-1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} n_i K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} x_j n_{j+1} S_j \oplus n_t \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_t} u_{tj} K[Z_{tj}] \oplus m_t S_t \right)$$

and obtain

$$(5) \quad S_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} n_i K[Z_{ij}] \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} x_j n_{j+1} S_j \oplus n_t m_t S_t.$$

Since  $I$  is a critical monomial ideal, Lemma 2.4 implies that  $I = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t-1} x_j n_{j+1} S_j \oplus n_t m_t S_t$  and that  $S_1 = S$ . Thus (5) yields

$$(6) \quad S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \bigoplus_{j=1}^{d_i} u_{ij} n_i K[Z_{ij}],$$

where for each  $i$  and  $j$  we have  $|Z_{ij}| = n - i \geq n - t$ . This implies  $|Z_{ij}| \geq n - |G(I)|$  as  $t = |G(I)|$ . Hence the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

Recall that a numerical function  $H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the Hilbert function of  $S/I$  for some graded ideal  $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , if and only if  $H(0) = 1$ ,  $H(1) \leq n$  and  $H(d+1) \leq H(d)^{<d>}$  for all  $d \geq 1$  (See [1, Theorem 4.2.10]).

If  $I$  is a non critical monomial ideal we prove the following.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $K$  be an infinite field. Then for any non critical monomial ideal  $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  there exists a Stanley ideal  $L \subset S$  such that  $S/I$  and  $S/L$  have the same depths and the same Hilbert function.*

*Proof.* Let  $I \subset S$  be a non critical monomial ideal and  $I^{\text{lex}} \subset S$  is the corresponding lexsegment ideal then by [4, Corollary 1.3] we have  $|G(I^{\text{lex}})| > n$ . If  $\text{depth}(S/I) = b$ , then there exists a regular sequence  $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_b)$  of  $S/I$  with each  $\deg(\theta_i) = 1$ . It then follows that there exists a homogeneous ideal  $J$  of  $S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-b}]$  such that the ideal  $JS$  of  $S$  satisfies  $H_{S/JS} = H_{S/I}$ .

We now claim that the lexsegment ideal  $J^{\text{lex}} \subset S'$  of  $J$  cannot be universal lexsegment. In fact, if  $J^{\text{lex}}$  is universal lexsegment, then  $J^{\text{lex}}$  remains being lexsegment in the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  for each  $m \geq n - b$ . In particular, the ideal  $J^{\text{lex}} S$  of  $S$  is universal lexsegment. Since  $H_{S/JS} = H_{S/J^{\text{lex}} S} = H_{S/I}$ , it follows that  $I^{\text{lex}} = J^{\text{lex}} S$ , because we

have unique lexsegment ideal corresponding to every ideal  $I \subset S$ . Thus  $I$  has a universal lexsegment ideal  $I^{\text{lex}}$ , which is a contradiction.

Since the lexsegment ideal  $J^{\text{lex}}$  of  $J$  cannot be universal lexsegment, it follows from [4, Corollary 1.3,1.4] that  $\text{depth}(S'/J^{\text{lex}}) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{depth}(S/J^{\text{lex}}S) = b \leq \text{sdepth}_{S'}(S'/J^{\text{lex}}) + b = \text{sdepth}_S(S/J^{\text{lex}}S)$ , by ([3, Lemma 3.6]).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, CohenMacaulay rings, Revised Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] M. Cimpoeas, Stanley depth of monomial ideals in three variables, arXiv: math. AC/0807.2166v3 (2008).
- [3] J. Herzog, M. Vladoiu, X. Zheng, How to compute the Stanley depth of a monomial ideal, to appear in J. Alg.
- [4] S. Murai, T. Hibi, The depth of an ideal with a given Hilbert function, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **136**, 1533-1538 (2008).
- [5] S. Murai, T. Hibi, Gotzmann ideals of the polynomial ring, Math. Z. **260**, 629-646 (2008).
- [6] D. Popescu, Stanley depth of multigraded modules, arXiv: math. AC/0801.2632v1 (2008).
- [7] A. Rauf, Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules, to appear in Communications in Algebra.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, Linear Diophantine equations and local cohomology, Invent. Math. **68**, 175-193 (1982).

ABDUS SALAM SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GC UNIVERSITY, 68-B, NEW MUSLIM TOWN, LAHORE, PAKISTAN.

*E-mail address:* azeemhaider@gmail.com and ali.uno@gmail.com